



THE EUROPEAN DOCTRINE OF COGNITIVE SOVEREIGNTY

The Foundation of Strategic Sovereignty in the Twenty-First Century

Executive summary

1. Sovereignty Has Changed

Power has migrated from territory to cognition. For centuries, sovereignty meant controlling land, population and resources. Today, the decisive strategic resource is the capacity to shape how reality is perceived *before* political decisions are made. Autonomy means operating within an existing framework. Sovereignty means defining that framework. A political community may possess democratic institutions and military strength while gradually losing sovereignty if the assumptions behind its decisions are established elsewhere.

2. Europe's Cognitive Dependence

Europe's deepest vulnerability is not military or economic—it is cognitive. Europe is progressively losing the capacity to define reality according to its own experience, traditions and interests. This is **cognitive dependence**: the gradual adoption of externally generated narratives and values without independent evaluation.

This condition is hard to recognise because democracy formally continues. Elections happen, media report, universities teach. Yet the cognitive environment within which democratic judgement forms is increasingly shaped outside Europe.

Historically, post-WWII integration into the Atlantic system brought peace and prosperity but created structural dependencies. Today, much of Europe's digital infrastructure, technological ecosystems, information environment, financial architecture, popular culture, strategic analysis and normative discourse originates beyond its borders. The problem is not foreign influence itself but Europe's diminishing capacity to distinguish independently between cooperation and dependence.

Civilizations rarely lose sovereignty through military defeat. More often they first lose confidence in their own judgement.

3. Cognitive Engineering: The Mechanism

Cognitive engineering is the systematic, non-transparent shaping of perception and decision-making through communication, education, media, politics, culture and technology—for strategic advantage.

Unlike propaganda, it is continuous and decentralized. Multiple actors operate simultaneously: Digital platforms (algorithms curating reality), Media systems (setting visibility and credibility), Universities (defining legitimate knowledge), NGOs and think tanks (shaping agendas), States and corporations (strategic communication), Financial institutions (influencing economic assumptions)

Artificial intelligence has accelerated this. AI does not create cognitive dependence. It exponentially amplifies existing mechanisms of cognitive engineering by making influence adaptive, personalised and continuous; deepfakes erode trust in evidence; algorithms create epistemic bubbles that fragment shared reality.



These actors need no central coordination. Their cumulative effect normalises certain interpretations as objective truth, certain values as moral imperatives, certain policies as the only legitimate options. The objective is not persuasion but structuring the framework within which persuasion becomes possible.

4. Consequences: From Cognitive to Strategic Dependence

Cognitive dependence cascades into every dimension of sovereignty:

Ethical dependence – public debate shifts from "what serves our society?" to "what is internationally acceptable?" Fundamental questions—demographic renewal, family stability, educational quality, cultural continuity—become secondary to symbolic agendas.

Epistemological dependence – universities reproduce frameworks developed elsewhere rather than generating independent knowledge rooted in Europe's intellectual traditions. A civilization that ceases to produce its own knowledge cannot formulate independent policies.

Visible symptoms across Europe:

- Weak demographic perspective (civilizations that lose confidence in their future cease to reproduce)
- Technological dependence
- Fragmented information space
- Declining institutional trust
- Political polarization
- Reduced strategic decision-making capacity

These are not separate crises. They are stages of the same process. Without epistemological sovereignty there can be no cognitive sovereignty. Without cognitive sovereignty there can be no strategic sovereignty. No military budget or industrial policy compensates for losing the capacity to think independently.

5. The Doctrine: What Must Be Done

Cognitive sovereignty is the capacity to perceive, interpret and evaluate reality according to one's own historical experience and strategic interests—and transform that into autonomous democratic decisions. It is not censorship or isolation; democracies become stronger by preserving the capacity to evaluate competing ideas independently.

Cognitive security protects the cognitive environment from systematic manipulation while safeguarding freedom of thought and expression.

Six pillars:

1. **Legal recognition** – define systematic cognitive engineering as a strategic threat to democracy.
2. **Transparency** – full disclosure of foreign funding for political, media, academic and NGO entities shaping public policy.
3. **Prohibition of covert influence** – ban hidden foreign operations manipulating democratic processes.
4. **European infrastructure** – develop European-owned digital platforms, media, research centres and data systems. Sovereignty cannot exist while primary information channels are controlled beyond European jurisdiction.



5. **Epistemological sovereignty** – restore universities as independent centres of inquiry. Education must cultivate critical thinking, media literacy and understanding of AI's influence on perception.
6. **Normative foundation** – renew confidence in Europe's civilizational inheritance: Greek philosophy, Roman law, Judeo-Christian ethics, Renaissance humanism, Enlightenment rationality. Values genuinely defended must be genuinely understood and chosen.

Implementation requires a European legislative framework, not 27 national strategies. Cognitive sovereignty demands EU-wide standards for transparency, influence prevention and digital infrastructure.

6. Why This Is Urgent

The defining competition of this century will not be military or economic. It will be over the capacity to shape how societies understand reality, define interests and set priorities.

Europe faces a choice: adapt to realities defined by others, or recover the confidence to define its own.

This is not about external threats. It is about civilizational survival. Civilizations decline when they lose confidence in their own judgement, their own knowledge and their own capacity to determine their future. Strategic decline is always preceded by cognitive dependence.

Cognitive sovereignty is not one dimension of sovereignty. It is the condition upon which every other dimension depends. A civilization capable of understanding reality through its own traditions will define its own ethics, produce its own knowledge, develop its own strategies and protect its own future.

Europe's renewal will not begin with military power or economic reform. It will begin when Europe regains the confidence to think independently. Only a civilization capable of preserving cognitive sovereignty can preserve strategic sovereignty. Only a strategically sovereign Europe can protect its democracy, culture and freedom.

The decisive struggle of the twenty-first century will not be fought over territory. It will be fought over the human capacity to perceive reality independently. Civilizations that preserve cognitive sovereignty will preserve strategic sovereignty. Those that lose it will lose the ability to determine their own future—regardless of wealth or military power.

Gorazd Hladnik, MSc, MBA
Libertas Europa, Ljubljana, Slovenia